Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/677,098	CHENG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457
I Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pre-Appeal Conference Decision</u>		
(1) <u>BARBARA N. BURGESS</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Bao Tran</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 November 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-5, 9-10		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Bao Tran to discuss possible amendments to place the case into condition for allowance. Bao agreed to cancelling withdrawn claims 3-4. He further agreed to cancelling claims 5 and 9 (which is the same as claim 2 and is hereby cancelled as well) and adding its limitations to claim 1. Also, amending claim 1 to include a limitation in claim 10. Bao Tran gave Examiner permission to perform an Examiner's Amendment which is submitted herewith. Bao Tran also amended the Astract of Disclosure to adhere to the guidelines of a proper Abstract. This amendment is submitted herewith.